THE AFFORDABLE CARE ACT AT FIVE YEARS

David Blumenthal, M.D., M.P.P.
President
The Commonwealth Fund
One East 75th Street
New York, NY 10021
db@cmwf.org

Invited Testimony
Senate Finance Committee
United States Senate
Hearing on “The Affordable Care Act at Five Years”
March 19, 2015

The author gratefully acknowledges the contributions of David Squires, Sara Collins, Rachel Nuzum, Sophie Beutel, Melinda Abrams, Jordan Kiszla, and Chris Hollander to this testimony.

The views presented here are those of the author and not necessarily those of The Commonwealth Fund or its directors, officers, or staff. Commonwealth Fund pub. 1807.
THE AFFORDABLE CARE ACT AT FIVE YEARS

David Blumenthal, M.D., M.P.P.
The Commonwealth Fund

Executive Summary

Thank you, Chairman Hatch, Senator Wyden, and members of the Committee, for this invitation to testify on the Affordable Care Act at five years. Research from The Commonwealth Fund and other sources demonstrate that the Affordable Care Act is helping to reduce the number of Americans who are uninsured and improving access to health care.

Currently, more than 25 million people are estimated to have health insurance under the provisions of the ACA. About 11.7 million have selected a plan through the insurance marketplaces—8.8 million through the federal website healthcare.gov and 2.8 million through state-based marketplaces. An additional 10.8 million have enrolled in Medicaid or the Children’s Health Insurance Program, or CHIP. Finally, nearly 3 million more young adults are covered under their parent’s plan compared to 2010.

As a result, the number of uninsured adults has fallen. This week, the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services reported that 16.4 million previously uninsured people had gained coverage since the law passed in 2010. Similar gains in coverage have been documented in a number of government and private-sector surveys. Furthermore, groups that historically have been most likely to lack insurance—young men and women, and adults with low or moderate incomes—have experienced among the greatest gains in coverage. These gains have occurred across racial and ethnic groups.

To see how the newly insured are faring with their marketplace or Medicaid coverage, The Commonwealth Fund conducted a survey of these adults in the second quarter of 2014. We found that three-quarters of the newly insured were satisfied with their insurance. A majority had already used their new plans to get health care, with most saying they could not have afforded or accessed this care previously. Most people who had tried to find a new doctor reported being able to do so with relative ease; they also were able to get appointments within timeframes similar to those reported by the general population of adults in prior surveys.
Other indicators demonstrate that improvements in insurance coverage have helped remove cost barriers to care. Among all working-age adults, the percentage reporting not being able to get needed care because of the cost fell between 2012 and 2014, from 43 percent to 36 percent—a decline of 14 million people. Similarly, better insurance coverage has meant fewer Americans experiencing financial difficulties related to health care. The number of adults who had problems paying their medical bills, or were paying off medical debt, declined from 75 million to 64 million between 2012 and 2014. This is the first time these numbers have declined since The Commonwealth Fund began asking these questions, with the changes likely reflecting improvements in coverage and in the economy. However, rates for these problems remain high, particularly for low-income adults.

Overall, health plans sold in the insurance marketplaces created under the ACA appear to be relatively affordable. A majority of consumers with marketplace coverage has reported it being very or somewhat easy to pay their premiums. This has especially been true for those with low incomes who are benefitting from the ACA’s insurance subsidies.

The federal and state insurance marketplaces have also turned out to be quite stable and competitive. Nationwide, marketplace premiums did not increase at all, on average, from 2014 to 2015. This is unprecedented in light of historical trends in the individual and employer-based health insurance markets. The number of insurance carriers participating in the marketplaces also grew by 25 percent. However, these trends varied substantially by state: 14 states saw average premiums decline, while 10 states and the District of Columbia saw double-digit increases.

States have had considerable flexibility in implementing the ACA’s coverage reforms. As a result, consumers, insurers, and providers are experiencing the reforms somewhat differently from state to state. The most significant source of variation involves the decision to expand eligibility for Medicaid. So far, 22 states and the District of Columbia have expanded Medicaid under the law’s provisions, and six states have received approval to expand Medicaid eligibility in a somewhat different fashion. Twenty-two states have not yet expanded Medicaid, though six of those are discussing ways to do so.

The impact of these decisions is clear. As several surveys have shown, uninsured rates are falling to the lowest levels in those states that have expanded Medicaid eligibility. Because state flexibility in whether to expand Medicaid stems from the 2012 Supreme Court decision, it was unforeseen by the drafters of the ACA.
Another unforeseen occurrence with implications for the ACA has been the slowdown in the rate of health care spending growth in recent years. This slowdown has been observed across the board, in public programs as well as private insurance. Partly in response, the Congressional Budget Office recently lowered its projections for the net federal costs of the ACA’s coverage provisions by an additional $142 billion over the period 2016 to 2026. The CBO’s most recent report also notes that, between 2015 and 2019, these federal costs will be 29 percent lower than the agency originally projected in 2010. While a number of factors have contributed to these downward revisions, slower cost growth has been one important contributor.

The 160 million people who have their coverage through an employer are also benefitting from new protections, like the ability to stay on a parent’s health plan through age 25, and preventive care coverage without cost-sharing. Even with these changes, premium growth in employer-based health plans has slowed in the majority of states since 2010, when the provisions went into effect.

The CBO projects the law will have only minor effects on the labor force, driven almost entirely by workers’ voluntary choices. For example, people who had been locked into their jobs because of the need for health insurance may now choose to retire early, stay home to care for children or elderly parents, or earn a college degree.

Finally, it’s important to remember that the ACA aimed to do more than strengthen access to, and the affordability of, health insurance and health care; it also sought to improve how care is organized, delivered, and paid for.

There is widespread agreement that the U.S. health care delivery system is inefficient and fragmented, leaving patients, providers, and payers dissatisfied with the value of care provided and received. The ACA includes several reforms to improve health system performance.

The new Center for Medicare and Medicaid Innovation, for example, has launched an array of initiatives involving changes to health care payment and organization that together reach thousands of hospitals, tens of thousands of clinicians, and millions of patients across all 50 states. These reforms, incremental so far, are quickly gathering momentum. A number of the initiatives have the potential to dramatically improve the value of health services received by patients throughout the United States.
Earlier this year, Secretary Burwell announced a goal to have at least 50 percent of traditional Medicare payments linked to some form of alternative payment method by 2018. A private-sector consortium comprising leading health systems, payers, and purchasers has set similar goals. The alignment of public- and private-sector activity around such goals sends a strong signal to providers and payers alike that the momentum around delivery and payment reform will only be accelerating. The ACA’s delivery system reforms have helped to catalyze this new public–private alliance.

At the five-year mark, there is strong evidence that the Affordable Care Act has resulted in gains in coverage, affordability, and access to health care services. It may also have created the foundation for significant changes to the way we deliver and pay for care. Taken together, a promising picture emerges. Five years, however, is a short time in the life of legislation as ambitious and sweeping as the ACA. Additional studies and evaluations will be necessary to paint a fuller picture of the law’s impact on Americans and their health care system.
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Thank you, Chairman Hatch, Senator Wyden, and the members of the Committee, for inviting me to testify. I am David Blumenthal, president of The Commonwealth Fund. The Commonwealth Fund is a private foundation that aims to promote a high-performing health care system that achieves better access, improved quality, and greater efficiency, particularly for society’s most vulnerable, including low-income people, the uninsured, minority Americans, young children, and elderly adults. The Fund carries out this mission by supporting independent research on health care issues and making grants to improve health care practice and policy.

I am honored to testify before the Committee about the Affordable Care Act at five years. Research from The Commonwealth Fund and other sources demonstrates that the ACA is helping to reduce the number of Americans who are uninsured and improving access to health care. Further, the ACA is reforming the way care is delivered and paid for in our country. Taken together, the ACA is the most sweeping overhaul ever of our nation’s health system. And while it’s too early to assess the impact of many provisions and programs, a review of progress to date suggests a number of positive trends.

The Affordable Care Act Has Reduced the Number of Uninsured Adults
More than 25 million people are estimated to have health insurance under the provisions of the ACA (Exhibit 1). During the most recent enrollment period, about 11.7 million have selected, or were automatically reenrolled in, a health plan through the insurance marketplaces, and special enrollment periods are still open in several states.¹ About 8.8 million people selected a plan through the federal website healthcare.gov—an increase of more than 3 million over last year—and more than 2.8 million selected a plan through the state-based marketplaces. An additional 10.8 million have enrolled in Medicaid or the Children’s Health Insurance Program, or CHIP, since October 2013.² Finally, we estimate nearly 3 million more young adults are covered under their parents’ health plan compared to 2010.³

3 Commonwealth Fund 2014 Biennial Health Insurance Survey.
As a result, the number of uninsured adults has fallen. This week, the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services reported that 16.4 million previously uninsured people had gained coverage since the ACA was passed in 2010. Government and private surveys by The Commonwealth Fund, the Kaiser Family Foundation, the RAND Corporation, the Urban Institute and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention have documented declines in the uninsured population of 7 million to 11 million adults over the past year. These declines are unprecedented (Exhibit 2).4

Groups that historically have been most at risk for lacking insurance have experienced some of the greatest gains in coverage. For example, the uninsured rate for young adults ages 19 to 34 has declined sharply, falling from 27 percent in 2010 to 19 percent in 2014 (Exhibit 3). There have also been striking declines among low-income adults. The uninsured rate for people with incomes below 200 percent of the federal poverty level dropped from 36 percent in 2010 to 24 percent in 2014 (Exhibit 4). Uninsured rates for low-income and young adults are the lowest observed since 2001.

---

Exhibit 3. Young Adults Have Made the Greatest Gains in Coverage of Any Age Group Since 2010

Percent of adults ages 19–64 who are uninsured


Exhibit 4. People with Incomes Under $48,000 for a Family of Four Experienced the Largest Declines in Uninsured Rates

Percent of adults ages 19–64 who are uninsured

Note: FPL refers to federal poverty level. Income levels are for a family of four in 2013.
Coverage gains have also occurred across racial and ethnic groups. Between 2010 and 2014, the uninsured rate fell from 15 percent to 10 percent for non-Hispanic whites; from 24 percent to 18 percent for African Americans; and from 39 percent to 34 percent for Latinos (Exhibit 5). Despite these declines, African Americans and Latinos continue to be much more likely than non-Hispanic whites to be uninsured.

To see how the newly insured are faring with their marketplace or Medicaid coverage, The Commonwealth Fund surveyed these adults in the second quarter of 2014. We found that three-quarters of the newly insured were satisfied with their insurance (Exhibit 6). People who had been insured prior to gaining their new coverage and those who had been uninsured were equally satisfied. Compared to people who selected a marketplace plan, larger shares of those who newly enrolled in Medicaid were satisfied with their new coverage.

---

7 Collins et al., *Gaining Ground*, 2014.
At the time of the Commonwealth Fund survey, a majority of the newly insured had already used their plans to go to a doctor or a hospital or to pay for a prescription drug (Exhibit 7). Sixty-two percent of these adults said that they could not have afforded or accessed this care previously. Rates were particularly high for those who had previously been uninsured (75%). But nearly half of those who previously had insurance (44%) said that they, too, would not have able to get this care before enrolling in their new plan.
Of those survey respondents who had tried to find a new primary care physician or general doctor with their new insurance, three-fourths reported that doing so had been very or somewhat easy (Exhibit 8). Two-thirds of respondents who said they found a new primary care doctor were able to get an appointment within two weeks. Wait times were longer for some—for example, 15 percent waited longer than one month—but average wait times were consistent with those reported in prior Commonwealth Fund surveys of the general population, including both insured and uninsured Americans.
Improvements in Insurance Coverage Are Removing Cost Barriers to Care and Reducing Problems with Medical Bills

Other indicators demonstrate that improvements in insurance coverage have helped remove cost barriers to care. Among all working-age adults surveyed, the percentage who reported not getting needed care because of the cost fell between 2012 and 2014, from 43 percent to 36 percent, a decline of approximately 14 million people (Exhibit 9).\(^8\) This is the first year that this indicator has fallen since The Commonwealth Fund began tracking it in 2003.

---

\(^8\) Collins et al., *Rise in Coverage and Affordability*, 2015.
The decline in cost-related access problems likely reflects the ACA’s expansions of coverage as well as the law’s improvements in coverage, such as the inclusion of preventive care services without cost-sharing. The decline may also reflect some improvement in the economy. Still, these rates remain quite high, particularly among those with low incomes. Forty-five percent of adults with incomes below 200 percent of poverty reported problems getting care because of the cost, including one-third of those with insurance.

### Exhibit 9. The Number of Adults Reporting Not Getting Needed Care Because of Cost Declined in 2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In the past 12 months:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Had a medical problem, did not visit doctor or clinic</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>38 million</td>
<td>41 million</td>
<td>49 million</td>
<td>53 million</td>
<td>42 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did not fill a prescription</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>39 million</td>
<td>43 million</td>
<td>48 million</td>
<td>50 million</td>
<td>35 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skipped recommended test, treatment, or follow-up</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>32 million</td>
<td>34 million</td>
<td>47 million</td>
<td>49 million</td>
<td>35 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did not get needed specialist care</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22 million</td>
<td>30 million</td>
<td>34 million</td>
<td>37 million</td>
<td>23 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any of the above access problems</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>63 million</td>
<td>64 million</td>
<td>75 million</td>
<td>80 million</td>
<td>66 million</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Better insurance coverage and an improving economy have also meant fewer Americans are reporting health care–related financial difficulties. The number of adults saying they had problems paying their medical bills in the past year declined from 75 million people in 2012 to 64 million in 2014 (Exhibit 10). This included 8 million fewer people paying off bills over time, and 5 million fewer people being contacted by a collection agency for unpaid medical bills. As with cost-related access problems, though, rates of financial problems remain high, particularly for adults with low incomes.

### Health Insurance Marketplaces Have Been Stable and Competitive
The health insurance marketplaces created under the ACA have turned out to be quite stable and competitive. Nationwide, marketplace premiums did not increase at all, on average, from 2014 to 2015. This is unprecedented in light of recent trends in the individual and employer-based health insurance markets (Exhibit 11). Furthermore, the number of carriers participating in the marketplaces increased by 25 percent. Trends in both premiums and participating carriers, however, varied substantially by state: 14 states saw average premiums decline, while 10 states and the District of Columbia saw double-digit increases. This

---

9 Ibid.
heterogeneity reflects local market conditions and differences between urban, suburban, and rural areas.

Exhibit 11. No Change in Health Insurance Marketplace Premiums Nationally in 2014–2015, But State-to-State Variation

Overall, health plans sold in the marketplaces also appears to be relatively affordable for consumers. A majority of adults (61%) with marketplace coverage reported it has been very or somewhat easy to pay their premiums (Exhibit 12). This is especially true for those with incomes below 250 percent of the poverty level, of whom two-thirds reported that paying their premiums was somewhat or very easy. These adults benefit from the ACA’s insurance subsidies, including reduced cost-sharing to protect from high out-of-pocket expenses.

In contrast, having trouble paying insurance premiums was somewhat more common among people with higher incomes, who receive smaller subsidies or none at all: 44 percent of adults with incomes that put them at or above 250 percent of the poverty level said it was somewhat difficult, very difficult, or impossible.

### The Affordable Care Act Has Unfolded Differently in Each State

States have had considerable flexibility to implement the ACA’s insurance coverage reforms. This flexibility stems from the statute itself, from how federal regulations have been implemented, and from decisions made by the Supreme Court. As a result, consumers, insurers, and providers are experiencing the reforms somewhat differently from state to state.

Significant differences have arisen regarding states’ management of their insurance marketplaces (Exhibit 13). Sixteen states and the District of Columbia opted to run their own marketplaces, although this year three of these states—Oregon, New Mexico, and Nevada—are using healthcare.gov. Thirty-four states are using the federal marketplace, but there is a great deal of variation in their involvement in operations. For example, seven states using the federal marketplace take responsibility for plan management, and seven more are undertake both plan management and consumer assistance.
The most significant source of variation in how states have been affected by the ACA concerns their decision to expand eligibility for Medicaid. So far, 22 states and the District of Columbia have expanded Medicaid under the law’s provisions, and six states have received approval from the Department of Health and Human Services to expand Medicaid eligibility under Section 1115 waiver authority (Exhibit 13). Twenty-two states have not yet expanded Medicaid, though six of those are discussing ways to do so. The impact of these decisions is clear: several surveys have shown uninsured rates falling to the lowest levels in those states that have expanded Medicaid eligibility.


One unforeseen event with implications for the ACA has been the slowdown in the rate of health care spending growth in recent years. This slowdown has been observed across the board, both in public programs and in private insurance. Real (inflation-adjusted) Medicare spending per beneficiary has actually fallen, and 31 states and the District of Columbia have experienced slower growth in employer-sponsored insurance premiums from 2010 to 2013 compared to the seven prior years (Exhibit 14).

---

Partly in response to this slowdown in spending growth, the Congressional Budget Office recently lowered its projections of net federal costs for the ACA’s coverage provisions over the period 2016 to 2026 by $142 billion.\textsuperscript{14} The CBO’s most recent report also notes that between 2015 and 2019, these federal costs will be 29 percent lower than the agency originally projected in 2010. A number of factors have contributed to these downward revisions, including changes in law, changes in the CBO’s economic projections, and the Supreme Court’s decision regarding Medicaid. However, slower spending growth has been sufficiently broad and persistent to convince the CBO to lower its projections of federal costs for health care.

\textbf{The Law Is Benefitting People in Employer-Based Plans and Freeing People from “Job Lock”}

The 160 million people with health coverage through an employer are also benefitting from new protections, like the ability to stay on a parent’s health plan through age 25, and preventive-care coverage without cost-sharing. And despite these changes, premium growth in employer-based plans slowed in the majority of states since 2010, when these provisions went into effect.

The CBO projects only minor effects on the labor force from the law. The agency estimates the ACA will reduce hours worked by 1.5 percent to 2 percent over the period 2014 to 2017. This translates into a decline in full-time-equivalent workers of 2 million in 2017, rising to 2.5 million

\textsuperscript{14} \textit{Updated Budget Projections: 2015 to 2025}, Congressional Budget Office, March 2015.
in 2024. The CBO believes this reduction will occur almost entirely because workers will chose to work less as a result of the law’s new coverage options.\textsuperscript{15} For example, workers who have been locked into their jobs because of the need for health insurance may now chose to retire early, stay home or work part-time to care for children or elderly parents, or earn a college degree.

**Delivery System Reform**

Finally, it’s important to remember that the ACA aimed to do more than strengthen access to, and the affordability of, health insurance and health care. It also sought to improve how care is organized, delivered, and paid for.

There is widespread agreement that the U.S. health care delivery system is inefficient and fragmented, leaving patients, providers, and payers dissatisfied with the value of care provided and received. The ACA includes several reforms to improve health system performance.

The new Center for Medicare and Medicaid Innovation (CMMI), for example, has launched an array of initiatives involving changes in the way care is paid for and organized that together reach thousands of hospitals, tens of thousands of clinicians, and millions of patients across all 50 states. While the general direction of CMMI activities is promising, it is for the most part too early in the evolution of these nascent initiatives to assess them rigorously. It is reasonable to infer, however, that the reforms are contributing to the gathering momentum across the country around payment and delivery system reform.

One ACA payment initiative currently being tested is the Medicare Shared Savings Program. Established as a way of encouraging providers to form accountable care organizations, or ACOs, the Shared Savings Program provides an opportunity for provider groups that are serving as an ACO and take responsibility for the quality and cost outcomes for a specified patient population to split the savings with the federal government if they meet quality and spending targets. Currently there are more than 400 Shared Savings ACOs, and together they serve 13 percent of the Medicare population. Although provider participation has exceeded expectations, first-year results were mixed, with only 24 percent earning shared-savings bonuses (Exhibit 15).

\textsuperscript{15} Congressional Budget Office, *The Budget and Economic Outlook, Appendix C: Labor Market Effects of the Affordable Care Act, February 2014.*
Another payment change relates to how Medicare reimburses hospitals for higher-than-expected numbers of readmissions. Since the program began at the end of 2012, there have been approximately 150,000 fewer Medicare readmissions each year. In large part because of the financial penalties associated with the ACA’s policy change, 30-day hospital readmission rates have declined from 19 percent to 17.5 percent (Exhibit 16).
Earlier this year, Secretary Burwell announced a goal to have at least 50 percent of traditional Medicare payments linked to some form of alternative, value-based payment method by 2018. A private-sector consortium comprising leading health systems, payers, and purchasers has set similar goals. The alignment of public- and private-sector activity around such goals sends a strong signal to providers and payers alike that the momentum around delivery and payment reform will only be accelerating. The ACA’s initiatives seem to have played an important part in catalyzing this public–private alignment, which is crucial to improving health care for all Americans.

**Conclusion**

At the five-year mark, there is strong evidence that the Affordable Care Act has resulted in gains in health insurance coverage, the affordability of coverage and care, and access to health services. The law may also have laid the foundation for significant improvements in the way we deliver and pay for care. Taken together, a promising picture emerges. Five years, however, is a short time in the life of legislation as ambitious and sweeping as the ACA. Additional studies and evaluations will be needed to paint a fuller picture of its impact on Americans and their health care system.