

Canadian Hospitals and the Health Care System: Views of Hospital Executives

Findings from the Commonwealth Fund International Health Policy Survey¹

The most recent Commonwealth Fund International Health Policy Survey asked hospital executives in five countries— Australia, Canada, New Zealand, the United Kingdom, and the United States—for their views of their nation's health care system, the level and quality of their hospitals' resources, and efforts to improve quality of care. In Canada, the survey presents a picture of a publicly governed health care system operating under considerable stress, but one with which the vast majority of hospital executives interviewed were at least somewhat satisfied.

Canadian Hospitals: A Current Snapshot

"Canadian hospitals, organized as public or not-for profit, operate under annual budgets set by provincial and regional health authorities. During the 1990s Canada's health system contracted, including hospital closings and bed reductions. In 2002 and again in 2003 the federal government supported major increases in health spending, with a share devoted to reinvestment in hospitals."

From R. J. Blendon et al., "Confronting Competing Demands to Improve Quality," *Health Affairs*, May/June 2004

When asked about the biggest problems facing their hospitals, Canadian respondents reported inadequate funding, staffing shortages, and overcrowded and outdated facilities challenges echoed by hospital executives in the four other countries surveyed. These constraints are reflected in waiting times for elective surgery, diversions of patients to other hospitals, and cancelled or postponed surgeries. Emergency departments appear to be one area where these problems converge: nearly half of Canadian hospital executives rate the quality of their emergency rooms as only fair or poor.

In all five countries, hospital administrators named information technology and electronic medical records as their top priority for a one-time capital investment to improve quality of care. The Commonwealth Fund survey, conducted in 2003, is the sixth in a series of surveys designed to provide a comparative perspective on health policy issues in these five countries. The 2003 survey consisted of interviews with a sample of hospital chief operating officers or top administrators of the larger hospitals in each country. The findings were reported in the May/June 2004 issue of *Health Affairs*.

Overall Views of the Canadian Health Care System

The majority of hospital executives in all five countries reported being somewhat satisfied with their health care system, although fewer than one of six say they are very satisfied.

• Canadian hospital executives named inadequate funding and staffing shortages as the two biggest problems facing the health care system, followed by inadequate, overcrowded, and outdated facilities. These concerns also topped respondents' lists in the other countries (Figure 1).

Percent naming:	AUS	CAN	NZ	UK	US
Inadequate funding	58%	62 %	57%	39%	10%
Inadequate reimbursement	8	_	-	_	60
Staffing shortage	45	60	54	64	47
Inadequate/overcrowded/ outdated facilities	32	39	54	42	7
Indigent care/uninsured	_	_	-	_	17
Malpractice costs	6	_	_	_	11

¹ R. J. Blendon, C. Schoen, C. M. DesRoches, R. Osborn, K. Zapert, and E. Raleigh, "Confronting Competing Demands to Improve Quality: A Five-Country Hospital Survey," *Health Affairs* 23 (May/June 2004): 119–35.

This data brief was prepared by Robin Osborn, Catherine M. DesRoches, Elizabeth Raleigh, Phuong Trang Huynh, Olivia Ralston, and Alice Ho.

- One of six (15%) Canadian respondents named access to capital as one of the two biggest problems facing their hospitals.
- Despite financial and operational problems, 16 percent of hospital executives in Canada said they were very satisfied with the health care system; 77 percent reported being somewhat satisfied (Figure 2).



Financial Health and Quality of Resources

Hospital executives in Canada were among the most likely to report that their hospitals were under financial stress and that renovations were needed. In all five countries, emergency department facilities were rated relatively poorly, a finding consistent with physicians' ratings in the 2000 International Health Policy Survey.²

- Seventy percent (70%) of hospital executives in Canada reported that their hospital operated at a deficit in the past year or was unable to stay within budget. This is a higher proportion than that found for Australia, the U.K., and the U.S.
- Eight of 10 Canadian respondents reported that their hospital's current financial situation was insufficient to maintain current levels of service (Figure 3).

Canada Figure 3 Hospital Finances							
In the past year:							
	AUS	CAN	NZ	UK	US		
Had a surplus or profit	35%	9%	11%	7%	71%		
Broke even	25	22	7	61	6		
Had a loss or deficit	40	70	82	32	23		
Current financial situation:							
Insufficient to maintain current levels of service	57	81	75	63	30		
Allows for some improvements*	11	2	4	8	32		

* Does not include percent reporting sufficient to maintain current levels of service. Commonwealth Fund International Health Policy Survey (2003) Commonwealth Fund/Harvard/Harris Interactive • The quality of Canadian hospital facilities received mixed ratings. Some 70 percent said that their intensive care unit was either excellent or very good, while another 62 percent said the same about their operating rooms. However, half (48%) of Canadian hospital administrators rated their emergency departments as only fair or poor (Figure 4).

Canada Figure 4 Quality of Hospital Resources Base: Hospitals that have the facility						
Percent rating as only fair or poor:	AUS	CAN	NZ	UK	US	
Intensive care unit	9%	13%	10%	11%	5%	
Operating rooms or theaters	7	20	12	17	5	
Emergency room or department facilities	21	48	30	17	19	
Diagnostic imaging equipment or other medical technology	13	19	22	18	4	

- Of the five countries surveyed, Canadian respondents were the most likely to report that their hospital needed major renovations (Canada, 79%; U.S., 57%; U.K., 53%; Australia, 48%; New Zealand, 46%).
- Similar to their U.S. counterparts, one-quarter of Canadian hospital executives said they were very prepared for a terrorist attack and another 63 percent said they were somewhat prepared.

Waiting Times

Many Canadian hospital executives reported that waiting times for elective surgery were growing longer. Waiting times for elective surgery were longest in the U.K., although U.K. hospital executives overwhelmingly reported that they were improving. The very short waits for elective surgery reported in the U.S. are striking compared with other countries; however, these may not take into account indigent or uninsured individuals discouraged from seeking elective surgery altogether.

• Survey results indicate that waiting times in Canada are a problem: 44 percent of hospital administrators in Canada say that waiting times have gotten longer in the past two years, a significantly higher percentage than in the other four countries (U.S., 27%; New Zealand, 18%; Australia, 11%; U.K., 8%).

² R. J. Blendon et al., "Physicians' Views on Quality of Care: A Five-Country Comparison," *Health Affairs* 20 (May/June 2001): 233–43.

- One-third (32%) of Canadian respondents reported that • patients often or very often wait six months or more for elective surgery (compared with U.K., 57%; New Zealand, 42%; Australia, 26%; U.S., 1%).
- When asked about expected waiting times for a breast • biopsy for a 50-year-old woman with an ill-defined mass in her breast (but no adenopathy), the majority (70%) of Canadian administrators anticipated that treatment would be available within two weeks or less. When asked, however, about a routine hip replacement for a 65-year-old man, 50 percent of Canadian hospital executives projected a wait of six months or more.
- Almost half (46%) of Canadian respondents reported average emergency department waiting times of two hours or longer (compared with U.K., 58%; U.S., 39%; Australia, 23%; New Zealand, 17%).
- More than half (58%) of Canadian hospital administrators reported that delays and problems discharging patients because of limited post-hospital care occur often or very often, which was similar to the other countries, except New Zealand (U.K., 58%; Australia, 43%; U.S., 40%; New Zealand, 7%).

Medical Errors

The 2002 International Health Policy Survey found that a quarter of Canadian patients with health problems experienced a medication error or a medical mistake.³ As a follow-up, the 2003 survey asked hospital executives about policies to inform patients about errors and to assess the effectiveness of hospitals' efforts to identify and address preventable medical errors.

- According to the survey, nearly half of Canadian hospitals have a written policy for informing patients or their families of a preventable medical error made in their care (Figure 5).
- While a large majority of hospital executives in Canada • said that their hospitals' programs for finding and addressing medical errors was at least somewhat effective, far fewer believed that the program was very effective (13%).
- Four of five Canadian respondents said that physicians were either somewhat or very supportive of reporting and addressing preventable medical errors.

While the U.K. and U.S. appear to be in the lead on patient safety efforts, in no country were a majority of hospital administrators very confident of their hospital's ability to find and address medical errors.

Canada Figure 5 Addressing Preventable Medical Errors

AUS

59%

CAN

47%

NZ

50%

Quality Improvement and Public Disclosure of Data

Across all five countries, the majority of hospital executives agreed that recognized strategies to improve quality of care in hospitals were at least somewhat effective and that provider performance data should be reported to the public.

- The strategies rated most highly by Canadian hospital executives were treatment guidelines for common conditions and computerized ordering of drugs, with 59 percent and 51 percent, respectively, considering these very effective. One-third or more also regarded electronic medical records (45%) and comparing medical outcomes with other hospitals (33%) as very effective.
- Overall, hospital administrators in Canada were very supportive of publicly disclosing quality-of-care information. Three-fourths or more said there should be public disclosure of the frequency of specific procedures, patient satisfaction ratings, nosocomial infection rates, average waiting times for elective procedures, mortality rates for specific medical conditions, and medical error rates (Figure 6).
- Only about two of five Canadian (46%) and U.S. (40%) hospital executives rated current government policies for improving quality as somewhat or very effective. A majority of executives in the other three nations endorsed their government's policies (U.K., 75%; Australia, 68%; New Zealand, 61%).

US

88%

24

70

30

56

UK

74%

Percent saying hospital has written policy to inform patients of preventabl medical errors made in their care Percent saying hospital's program for finding and addressing medical errors is: Very effective 22 13 4 24 Somewhat effective 58 66 71 67 Percent reporting that physician support for reporting and addressing medical errors is: Very supportive 17 21 7 35 Somewhat supportive 59 59 57 54 Commonwealth Fund International Health Policy Survey (2003) Commonwealth Fund/Harvard/Harris Interactive Hospital Executives

R. J. Blendon et al., "Common Concerns Amid Diverse Systems: Health Care Experiences in Five Countries," Health Affairs 22 (May/June 2003): 106-21.

Percent saying should be eleased to the public:	AUS	CAN	NZ	UK	US
Mortality rates for specific conditions	62%	72%	79%	82%	66%
Frequency of specific procedures	82	94	96	85	85
Medical error rate	62	78	54	82	59
Patient satisfaction ratings	94	97	96	98	82
Average waiting times for elective procedures	94	98	100	99	70
Nosocomial infection rates	71	89	71	89	68

Staffing Issues

Hospital staffing levels were a top concern for hospital executives in all five countries. Sixty percent of survey respondents in Canada named staffing shortages as one of the top two problems facing their hospital.

• More than one of four Canadian hospital executives reported serious shortages of pharmacists, nurses, and specialists. Hospitals in Canada were significantly more likely than those in Australia, New Zealand, and the U.S. to report serious shortages of specialists (Figure 7).

Percent reporting	AUS	CAN	NZ	UK	US
serious shortages of:	AUS	CAN	NZ	UN	03
Nurses	23%	30%	11%	22%	31%
Pharmacists	26	33	14	27	14
Specialists or consultant physicians	11	26	7	17	16
Trained managerial staff	5	12	0	6	3
Lab technicians	3	9	0	17	4

- Staff shortages have an impact on care delivery: one-quarter (26%) of hospitals in Canada reported that 10 percent or more of scheduled surgeries or procedures were cancelled due to staff shortages or lack of capacity.
- Three of 10 (31%) hospital administrators in Canada rated physician morale in their hospital as only fair or poor (U.S., 33%; U.K., 22%; Australia, 15%; New Zealand, 7%).

Information Technology

When hospital executives in the five countries were asked what their top priority would be for a one-time capital investment to improve quality of care for patients, information technology (IT) was the dominant choice.

• Nearly one-half of Canadian hospital executives named IT and electronic medical records as their top priorities for a one-time capital investment to improve quality of care (Figure 8).

Canada Figure 8 If You Had New Funding to Invest in a One-Time Capital Improvement in Only One Area of Your Hospital What Would It Be?							
Percent saying:	AUS	CAN	NZ	UK	US		
Electronic medical records/IT	35%	47%	46%	38%	62%		
Emergency room/OR/Critical care facility	26	18	4	22	13		
Basic hospital/patient facilities	17	14	21	22	3		
Diagnostic equipment/medical technology	9	16	11	10	3		
nmonwealth Fund International Health Policy Su	rvey (2003)			Hospita	l Execut		

• The majority of hospital administrators in all countries named high startup costs as a major barrier to expanding the use of computer technology (New Zealand, 93%; Australia and Canada, 84%; U.S., 71%; U.K., 69%). Projected maintenance costs, insufficient technical staff, and lack of uniform industry standards also were seen as major barriers.

Survey Methods

The Commonwealth Fund International Health Policy Survey consisted of interviews with hospital executives of the larger hospitals in Australia, Canada, New Zealand, the United Kingdom, and the United States. The survey drew random samples from lists of the largest general or pediatric hospitals in each country, excluding specialty hospitals. The largest hospitals surveyed in Australia and Canada had 100 or more beds, and in the United Kingdom and United States had 200 or more beds. In New Zealand, the study included hospitals in the country's 34 District Health Boards regardless of bed size. Final survey hospital sample sizes were: AUS 100; CAN 102; NZ 28; UK 103; and US 205. Harris Interactive, Inc., and country affiliates conducted the interviews by telephone with the chief operating officer or top administrator of hospitals between April and May 2003. The May/June 2004 Health Affairs article based on the survey provides tests for statistical differences between countries.