Exhibit 1. Low-Income and Uninsured Adults Are Less Likely to Have a Regular Provider and Medical Home

Percent of adults ages 19–64 who reported:

- **Have a regular doctor or usual source of care**
- **Have a medical home***

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Poverty status</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Income below 200% FPL</th>
<th>Income at or above 200% FPL</th>
<th>Uninsured any time during the year**</th>
<th>Insured all year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Have a regular doctor or usual source of care</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have a medical home*</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: FPL refers to federal poverty level.

* A composite of the following four indicators measures access to a medical home: 1) having a regular doctor or usual place of care; 2) availability of regular provider by phone; 3) patient-centeredness of care; and 4) care coordination.

Respondents who scored positive on all four indicators are considered to have access to a medical home.

** Because of small sample size, “Insured now, time uninsured in past year” and “Uninsured now” are combined.

Exhibit 2. Low-Income and Uninsured Adults Report High Rates of Cost-Related Access Problems

Percent of adults ages 19–64 who reported any of four cost-related access problems*:

Notes:

FPL refers to federal poverty level. Percentages are adjusted for age, sex, race, and health status.

* Respondent had at least one of four access problems because of cost: did not fill prescription; skipped recommended test, treatment, or follow-up; had a medical problem, did not visit doctor/clinic; and did not get needed specialist care.

** Because of small sample size, “Insured now, time uninsured in past year” and “Uninsured now” are combined.

^ Significant difference compared with income at or above 200% FPL (p < 0.05 or better).

^^ Significant difference compared with insured all year (p < 0.05 or better).

Exhibit 3. Low-Income and Uninsured Adults Are Less Likely to be Up-to-Date with Preventive Care

Percent of adults ages 19–64 who reported receiving preventive care screenings*:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Poverty status</th>
<th>Insurance status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Income below 200% FPL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>36^</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: FPL refers to federal poverty level. Percentages are adjusted for age, sex, race, and health status.

* Pap test in past year for females ages 19–29, past three years age 30+; colon cancer screening in past five years for adults ages 50–64; mammogram in past two years for females ages 50–64; blood pressure checked in past year; cholesterol checked in past five years (in past year if has hypertension or heart disease).

** Because of small sample size, “Insured now, time uninsured in past year” and “Uninsured now” are combined.

^ Significant difference compared with income at or above 200% FPL (p <0.05 or better).

^^ Significant difference compared with insured all year (p <0.05 or better).

Exhibit 4. Low-Income and Uninsured Adults Are Less Likely to Rate the Quality of Their Care as Excellent or Very Good

Percent of adults ages 19–64 who rated the quality of their care as excellent or very good*:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Poverty status</th>
<th>Insurance status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income below 200% FPL</td>
<td>35^</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income at or above 200% FPL</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uninsured any time during the year**</td>
<td>27^^</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insured all year</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: FPL refers to federal poverty level. Percentages are adjusted for age, sex, race, and health status.

* Respondent rated the quality of care received in the past 12 months as excellent/very good.
** Because of small sample size, “Insured now, time uninsured in past year” and “Uninsured now” are combined.
^ Significant difference compared with income at or above 200% FPL (p < 0.05 or better).
^^ Significant difference compared with insured all year (p < 0.05 or better).
Exhibit 5. When Low-Income Adults Have a Medical Home and Insurance, Their Rates of Having Cost-Related Access Problems Decline

Percent of adults ages 19–64 with income below 200 percent FPL who reported at least one of four cost-related access problems*:

- **Uninsured any time during the year**
- Insured all year without medical home
- Insured all year with medical home

Notes: FPL refers to federal poverty level. Percentages are adjusted for age, sex, race, and health status.

* Respondent had at least one of four access problems because of cost: did not fill prescription; skipped recommended test, treatment, or follow-up; had a medical problem, did not visit doctor/clinic; and did not get needed specialist care.

** Because of small sample size, “Insured now, time uninsured in past year” and “Uninsured now” are combined.

^ Significant difference compared with insured all year with medical home (p <0.05 or better).

Exhibit 6. When Low-Income Adults Have a Medical Home and Insurance, Their Rates of Getting Preventive Care Improve

Percent of adults ages 19–64 with income below 200 percent FPL who reported receiving preventive care screenings*:

- Uninsured any time during the year**
- Insured all year without medical home
- Insured all year with medical home

Notes: FPL refers to federal poverty level. Percentages are adjusted for age, sex, race, and health status.

* Pap test in past year for females ages 19–29, past three years age 30+; colon cancer screening in past five years for adults ages 50–64; mammogram in past two years for females ages 50–64; blood pressure checked in past year; cholesterol checked in past five years (in past year if has hypertension or heart disease).

** Because of small sample size, “Insured now, time uninsured in past year” and “Uninsured now” are combined.

^ Significant difference compared with insured all year with medical home (p <0.05 or better).

Exhibit 7. When Low-Income Adults Have a Medical Home and Insurance, Their Rating of Quality of Care Improves

Percent of adults ages 19–64 with income below 200 percent FPL who rated the quality of their care as excellent or very good*:

- Uninsured any time during the year**
- Insured all year without medical home
- Insured all year with medical home

Notes:
- FPL refers to federal poverty level. Percentages are adjusted for age, sex, race, and health status.
- * Respondent rated the quality of care received in the past 12 months as excellent/very good.
- ** Because of small sample size, “Insured now, time uninsured in past year” and “Uninsured now” are combined.
- ^ Significant difference compared with insured all year with medical home (p <0.05 or better).