

Table 6.1: Comparison Data for the PHDS and ProPHDS Administration by Mail¹

PHDS Measure of Care	All PHDS Data by Mail N=9763²	Range Observed at a Health Plan Level N=6301³	Range Observed at an Office Level N=4067³	Range Observed at a Provider Level N=2990³
Anticipatory Guidance and Parental Education				
Average Percentage of Topics Discussed	50.0 std=31.0	36.7-58.3	37.4-67.6	31.5-69.7
Proportion of Children for Whom 80% of Topics Were Discussed	21.8	3.78-37.8	11.5-40.6	0-52.9
Average Percentage of Topics on Which Parents Had Informational Needs Met	82.1 std=24.4	67.6-92.1	74.2-93.6	69.4-92.6
Proportion of Children Whose Parents Had Their Informational Needs Met	42.5	29.7-71.0	23.1-67.9	22.2-66.7
Addressing Parental Concerns				
Proportion of Children Whose Parents Were Asked About Their Concerns	55.4	31.2-88.9	42.4-84.8	20.0-92.3
Proportion of Children with Concerned Parents Who Were Asked About Concerns	53.2	31.8-68.6	30.8-85.2	25.0-93.8
Proportion of Children with Concerned Parents Who Received Information that Addressed Their Concerns	59.4	44.4-64.6	18.2-87.0	18.2-91.7

¹ Table 6.1 shows selected PHDS measures of care displayed in the charts of the reporting templates found in Appendices 12–13, 15–16. The table does not show item-level findings or alternate versions for scoring the quality measures that are described in Step 5. For additional information, please see the CAHMI Web site at www.cahmi.org or contact CAHMI at cahmi@ohsu.edu or 503-494-1930.

² Includes only PHDS and ProPHDS data collected via a mail mode of administration. Overall, CAHMI has collected over 40,000 cases of PHDS data via mail, telephone, and in-office administration. For additional information, please see the CAHMI Web site at www.cahmi.org or contact the CAHMI at cahmi@ohsu.edu or 503-494-1930.

³ The denominator for each of the columns is not the same because health plan, office and/or provider-level analysis were not possible in all of the sites represented in the benchmark data. Therefore, the figures shown represent the range observed for the PHDS benchmark data that was able to be analyzed for the specific unit of analysis and different sites are represented in the columns of the table.

Table 6.1: Comparison Data for the PHDS and ProPHDS Administration by Mail (Continued)

PHDS Measure of Care	All PHDS Data by Mail N=9763⁴	Range Observed at a Health Plan Level N=6301⁵	Range Observed at an Office Level N=4067³	Range Observed at a Provider Level N=2990³
Follow-Up for Children at Risk				
Proportion of At-Risk Children Receiving Follow-Up Care	58.3	34.5-67.3	38.9-91.7	33.3-92.8
Assessment of the Family for Psychosocial Issues				
Average Number of Topics Asked About	25.9 std=32.8	16.7-34.3	11.6-52.9	11.8-55.4
Proportion of Children Whose Parents Were Asked About One or More Topics	50.7	38.1-70.1	26.5-74.1	18.6-79.4
Assessment of the Family for Substance Abuse, Firearms, and Safety				
Average Number of Topics Asked About	38.5 std=33.2	27.2-50.9	15.3-55.8	23.0-63.4
Proportion of Children Whose Parents Were Asked About One or More Topics	70.8	61.3-84.3	26.5-96.7	32.4-98.3
Family-Centered Care				
Average Number of Topics for Which Parent Responded "Usually or Always"	76.3 std=30.9	60.1-92.9	59.2-100.0	57.1-99.0

⁴ Includes only PHDS and ProPHDS data collected via a mail mode of administration. Overall, CAHMI has collected over 40,000 cases of PHDS data via mail, telephone, and in-office administration. For additional information, please see the CAHMI Web site at www.cahmi.org or contact the CAHMI at cahmi@ohsu.edu or 503-494-1930.

⁵ The denominator for each of the columns is not the same because health plan, office and/or provider-level analysis were not possible in all of the sites represented in the benchmark data. Therefore, the figures shown represent the range observed for the PHDS benchmark data that was able to be analyzed for the specific unit of analysis and different sites are represented in the columns of the table.